

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-0880

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 6/90 *CB709-710*

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

APPLICANT NAME City of Cincinnati
STREET Room 440, City Hall
801 Plum Street
CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

PROJECT NAME Waits Avenue Improvement
PROJECT TYPE Road reconstruction & storm sewers
TOTAL COST \$ 1,017,055

DISTRICT NUMBER 2
COUNTY HAMILTON

PROJECT LOCATION ZIP CODE 45228

DISTRICT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

RECOMMENDED AMOUNT OF FUNDING: \$ 979,946.00

FUNDING SOURCE (Check Only One):

State Issue 2 District Allocation

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grant	<input type="checkbox"/> State Issue 2 Small Government Fund
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Loan	<input type="checkbox"/> State Issue 2 Emergency Funds
<input type="checkbox"/> Loan Assistance	<input type="checkbox"/> Local Transportation Improvement Fund

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

OPWC PROJECT NUMBER: _____ OPWC FUNDING AMOUNT: \$ _____

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE

OFFICER
TITLE
STREET

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Gerald E. Newfarmer
City Manager
Room 152, City Hall
801 Plum Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 352-3241

1.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL

OFFICER
TITLE
STREET

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Frank A. Dawson
Finance Director
Room 250, City Hall
801 Plum Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 352-3731

1.3 PROJECT MGR

TITLE
STREET

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Jay Gala
Principal Construction Engineer
Room 415, City Hall
801 Plum Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 352-3423
(513) 352-1581

1.4 PROJECT CONTACT

TITLE
STREET

PHONE
FAX

Kevin Brill
Engineer-In-Training
Room 440, City Hall
801 Plum Street
(513) 352-5289
(513) 352-5397

1.5 DISTRICT LIAISON

TITLE
STREET

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Joseph D. Cottrill
District 2 Liaison Officer
138 E. Court Street, Room 700
County Administration Building
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 632-8540
(513) 723-9748

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be consolidated for completion of this section.

2.1 PROJECT NAME: Waits Avenue Improvement

2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through D):

A. SPECIFIC LOCATION: Kellogg Avenue to Ohio River

B. PROJECT COMPONENTS: Reconstruct pavement (36' width from Kellogg to Linneman, 20'-22' width from Linneman to Bryson), construct sidewalk and concrete curb along south side from Kellogg to Linneman. Stormwater collection and transmission facilities to be constructed from Kellogg Avenue to Ohio River.

C. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS: Total length of reconstructed pavement is approximately 1200 LF. Total length of storm sewer is 2194 LF, plus 1020 LF of ditching, nine inlets, and a flap gate at the outfall into the Ohio River.

D. DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs. proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7756 gallons per household.

Design capacity will not be greatly improved by project. Existing ADT is estimated at 400. This was a winter estimate, with a much higher traffic count expected when the adjacent softball/recreation complex is in usage. The sewers and inlets are designed to convey a 10-year storm, which will eliminate street flooding along Waits. This system is sized so that it can be connected to future planned storm sewers along intersecting streets.

2.3 REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Photographs showing inadequate pavement and stormwater facilities are attached. In addition to improving safety by furnishing a wider pavement, the project will eliminate localized flooding that has been known to reach a depth of two feet within the right-of-way and abutting private property. (This flooding results from high intensity storms in the immediate area, and not from flooding caused when the nearby Ohio River reaches flood stage.)

3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a)	<u>Project Engineering Costs:</u>	
	1. Preliminary Engineering	\$ N/A
	2. Final Design	\$ N/A
	3. Construction Supervision	\$ N/A
b)	Acquisition Expenses	
	1. Land	\$ N/A
	2. Right-of-Way	\$ N/A
c)	Construction Costs	\$ 849,055
d)	Equipment Costs	\$ N/A
e)	Other Direct Expenses	\$ N/A
f)	Contingencies	\$ 168,000
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$ 1,017,055

3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to nearest Dollar & Percentage)

	Dollars	%
a) Local In-Kind Contributions*	\$ N/A	
b) Local Public Revenues	\$ 37,100	4%
c) Local Private Revenues	\$ N/A	
d) Other Public Revenues		
	1. ODOT	\$ N/A
	2. FMHA	\$ N/A
	3. OEPA	\$ N/A
	4. OWDA	\$ N/A
	5. CDBG	\$ N/A
	6. Other	\$ N/A
e) OPWC Funds		
	1. Grant	\$ 258,900 25%
	2. Loan	\$ 721,055 71%
	3. Loan Assistance	\$
f) TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	\$ 1,017,055	100%

*If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes.

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of all local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information must be attached to this application:

- 1) The date the funds are available;
- 2) Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number.

3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

Definitions:

Cost - Total cost of the Prepaid Item.
Cost Item - Non-construction costs, including preliminary engineering, final design, acquisition expenses (land or R/W)
Prepaid - Cost items (non-construction costs directly related to the project paid prior to receipt of fully executed Project Agreement from OPWC.
Resource Category - Source of funds (see section 3.2)
Verification - Invoice(s) and copies of warrant(s) used to for prepaid costs accompanied by Project Manager's Certification (see section 1.4).

IMPORTANT: Verification of all prepaid items shall be attached to this project application.

	<u>COST ITEM</u>	<u>RESOURCE CATEGORY</u>	<u>COST</u>
1)	_____	_____	_____
2)	_____	_____	_____

TOTAL OF PREPAID ITEMS = \$ N/A

3.5 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION

This sections need only be completed if the Project is funded by SI2 funds.

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT	\$ <u>1,017,055</u>	<u>100%</u>
State Issue 2 Funds for Repair/Replacement (Not to exceed 90%)	\$ <u>979,955</u>	<u>96%</u>

TOTAL PORTION FOR PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION	\$ <u>7,500</u>	<u>1%</u>
State Issue 2 Funds for New/Expansion (Not to exceed 50%)	\$ <u>--</u>	<u>0%</u>

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

	<u>ESTIMATED START DATE</u>	<u>ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE</u>
4.1 ENGR. DESIGN	<u>Underway</u>	<u>9/15/93</u>
4.2 BID PROCESS	<u>10/1/93</u>	<u>11/15/93</u>
4.3 CONSTRUCTION	<u>12/30/93</u>	<u>12/30/94</u>

5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Gerald E. Newfarmer, City Manager

Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)



Signature/Date Signed

Applicant shall check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this application.

☐ A five-year Capital Improvements Report as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code.

☒ A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's original seal and signature.

☒ A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's original seal and signature.

☐ A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts.

Yes ☐ A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district).
N/A ☐

Yes ☐ Copies of all invoices and warrants for those items identified as "prepaid" in section 4.4 of this application.
N/A ☐

6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The District Integrating Committee for District Number 2 Certifies That:

As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee, the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been duly selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective, District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.


William W. Brayshaw, Chairman, District 2 Integrating Committee
Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

William W. Brayshaw 3-1-93
Signature/Date Signed


December 17, 1992

Subject: Waits Avenue Street Improvement
 Kellogg Avenue to Bryson Avenue
 Certification of Useful Life

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio
Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design
useful life of the subject project is at least 20 years.



SEAL


Thomas E. Young, P.E.
City Engineer
City of Cincinnati

WAITS AVENUE STREET IMPROVEMENT
ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
12-18-92

			EST. QUANT.		ESTIMATED UNIT PRICE	ESTIMATED TOTAL
1	103.5	Contract Bond	1	L.S.	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00
2	201	Clearing and Grubbing	1	L.S.	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
3	201	Tree Removal	3	Ea.	\$1,000.00	\$3,000.00
4	202	Rigid Pavement Removed (full depth)	80	S.Y.	\$25.00	\$2,000.00
5	202	Walk Removed	1,090	S.F.	\$1.00	\$1,090.00
6	202	Curb Removed	335	L.F.	\$5.00	\$1,675.00
7	202	Pipe Removed	110	L.F.	\$10.00	\$1,100.00
8	202	Catch Basin/Inlet Removed	3	EACH	\$500.00	\$1,500.00
9	203	Excavation Not Including Embankment	1,350	C.Y.	\$18.00	\$24,300.00
10	203	Embankment	800	C.Y.	\$30.00	\$24,000.00
11	203	Subgrade Compaction	3700	S.Y.	\$1.50	\$5,550.00
12	203	Proof Rolling	10	Hrs.	\$50.00	\$500.00
13	207	Temporary Erosion Control	1	L.S.	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
14	301	Bituminous Aggregate Base	710	C.Y.	\$85.00	\$60,350.00
15	304	Aggregate Base	100	C.Y.	\$30.00	\$3,000.00
16	305	Concrete Base (9 in.)	300	S.Y.	\$50.00	\$15,000.00
17	404	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course	205	C.Y.	\$70.00	\$14,350.00
18	603	18 In. Aluminized Conduit	19	L.F.	\$72.00	\$1,368.00
19	603	10 In. Ductile Iron, Type G	63	L.F.	\$75.00	\$4,725.00
20	603	12 In. Conduit, Type H	40	L.F.	\$55.00	\$2,200.00
21	604	Manhole Adjusted To Grade Without Rings	1	EACH	\$165.00	\$165.00
22	604	Valve Chambers Adjusted To Grade Without Rings	1	EACH	\$210.00	\$210.00
23	604	Manhole, Type B	1	EACH	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00
24	604	Double Gutter Inlet	4	EACH	\$1,100.00	\$4,400.00
25	604	Ditch Valley Inlet	1	EACH	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00
26	607	Fence Removed and Reset	800	L.F.	\$10.00	\$8,000.00
27	608	Handicap Ramp, Type 1	1	EACH	\$45.00	\$45.00
28	608	Handicap Ramp, Type 2	2	EACH	\$45.00	\$90.00
29	608	Concrete Walk, 5"	2,555	S.F.	\$3.00	\$7,665.00
30	609	Concrete Curb, Type S-1	183	L.F.	\$13.00	\$2,379.00
31	609	Concrete Curb, Type S-2	37	L.F.	\$15.00	\$555.00
32	609	Concrete Curb, Type B-1	222	L.F.	\$9.00	\$1,998.00
33	609	Concrete Curb, Type B-2	81	L.F.	\$9.00	\$729.00
34	614	Maintaining Traffic	1	L.S.	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
35	619	Field Office, Type A	1	L.S.	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00
36	627	Concrete Driveway	547	S.F.	\$4.75	\$2,598.25
37	660	Sodding	487	S.Y.	\$6.00	\$2,922.00
38	1101	Furnishing And Laying 6 in. Ductile Iron Pipe And Fittings	16	L.F.	\$150.00	\$2,400.00
39	1113	Relocating Ex. Fire Hydrant	1	EACH	\$1,300.00	\$1,300.00
40	1125	Resetting Ex. Valve Boxes Complete Without Adjusters	2	EACH	\$90.00	\$180.00

41	1131	Furnishing and Installing Curb Boxes	2 EACH	\$124.00	\$248.00
42	1132	Resetting Existing Curb And Roadway Boxes	1 EACH	\$50.00	\$50.00
43	642	Edge Line	0.050 MILE	\$470.00	\$23.50
44	642	Lane Line	0.050 MILE	\$293.66	\$14.68
45	642	Centerline	0.050 MILE	\$1,287.05	\$64.35
46	644	Channelizing Line	150 L.F.	\$1.42	\$213.00
47	644	Stop Line	24 L.F.	\$5.75	\$138.00
48	644	Crosswalk Line	72 L.F.	\$3.00	\$216.00
49	644	Lane Arrow	2 EACH	\$68.00	\$136.00
50	644	Word On Pavement, 72 in.	2 EACH	\$147.00	\$294.00

SUB TOTAL

\$246,441.79

CONTINGENCIES 20%


\$49,288.36

GRAND TOTAL

\$295,730.14


T.E. Young

T.E. Young, P.E.
City Engineer





WAITS AVENUE IMPROVEMENT - STORM SEWER
COST ESTIMATE
Prepared December 11, 1992

REF. NO.	SPEC. NO.	ITEMS	ESTIM. QUANTITY	LABOR COST	MATER COST	TOTAL
1	103.05	Contract and Performance Bonds				6500
2	202	Remove existing culvert	60 LF		10	600
3	202	Remove existing Sanitary sewer	20 LF		20	400
4	202	Remove existing headwall	1 ea		1000	1000
5	603	48" Class IV RCP Type "B"	1862LF		250	465500
6	603	36" Class III RCP Type "B"	332LF		200	66400
7	603	12" Class III RCP Type "H"	150LF		75	11250
8	602	Headwall - Structural concrete	1 ea		500	5000
9	603	Flap Gate	1 ea		1000	1000
10	602	Manhole Type "P"	11 ea		1500	16500
11	602	Ditch Inlet (DI)	9 ea		2025	18225
12	603	15" Driveway Culvert Class IV RCP	35 LF		70	2450
13	601	Rip Rap, Grouted 12"+ W/ filter	300cf		2.0	600
14	660	Sodding and Ditch Reshaping (avg.5')	1020LF		6.5	6630
		SUBTOTAL				603,055
		CONTINGENCY 18%				118,000
		GRAND TOTAL				721,055


Robert V. Jansen, P. E.
Acting City Stormwater Engineer
City of Cincinnati

SEAL





Project Area

City of Cincinnati



Department of Finance

Room 250, City Hall
801 Plum Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

F. A. Dawson
Director

J. L. Andreyko
Deputy Director

October 2, 1992

Laurence Bicking, Director
Ohio Public Works Commission
65 East State Street
Suite 312
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: Status of Funds for Local Share of 1994 State Issue 2 Program

Dear Mr. Bicking:

The local matching share for the 1994 State Issue 2 Projects is recommended by the City Manager for funding in the City's 1993 Capital Improvement Program. The funds are coming from Street Improvement Bonds which are scheduled for sale in the early part of 1993.


If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

F.A. Dawson
Director of Finance

E M E R G E N C Y

City of Cincinnati

J.L.H. 

An Ordinance No. 535 -19 92

AUTHORIZING the City Manager to apply for a five year loan in the amount of \$1,000,000 from the Ohio Public Works Commission Issue 2 Funding Program and to enter into necessary agreements and loan commitments in regard to said loan as required by the Ohio Public Works Commission for the purpose of financing capital improvement programs within the Stormwater Management Division.

WHEREAS, the Ohio Public Works Commission assists in funding infrastructure rehabilitation and improvement projects under the State Issue 2 Infrastructure Bond and Funding Programs; and

WHEREAS, the City is eligible for a low or no interest loan from these Programs in the amount of \$1,000,000 which would be used to fund capital improvements in the Stormwater Management Division, and

WHEREAS, the repayment of the principal and any interest would be paid out of Stormwater Management revenues in Fund 107; now therefore

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Cincinnati, State of Ohio:

Section 1. That the City Manager is authorized to file an application on behalf of the City of Cincinnati with the Ohio Public Works Commission through Hamilton County's District 2 Integrating Committee for a loan in the amount of \$1,000,000 to assist in capital improvement projects for the Stormwater Management Division.


* Section 2. That the City Manager is authorized to execute any contracts, agreements or documents necessary for completion of the projects and for compliance with the Ohio Public Works Commission rules and regulations as regards Issue 2 funds.

Section 3. That the Director of Finance is authorized to receive said loan funds in the amount of \$1,000,000 and deposit same in Fund 107 and to repay the principal loan amount and any interest due thereon from revenues of the Stormwater Management Division; further, the proper officers are authorized to use and expend said loan amount according to the terms of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.


Section 4. This ordinance is declared to be an emergency measure necessary for the preservation of the public peace, safety, health and general welfare and shall go into effect forthwith. The reason for the emergency is the need to apply for these Issue 2 funds by the application deadline of December 18, 1992.

Passed December 23 A.D., 1992

Attest:


Mayor

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ORDINANCE NO. **535**
19 **93** WAS PUBLISHED IN THE CITY BULLETIN
IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CHARTER ON **1-5-93**.


Clerk of Council.

City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works
Division of Engineering

Room 440, City Hall
801 Plum Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

March 15, 1993

George Rowe
Director

Thomas E. Young
City Engineer

Mr. Joseph Cottrill
Hamilton County Engineer's Office
Room 700, County Administration Building
138 East Court Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Subject: Waits Avenue Improvement Project

Dear Mr. Cottrill:

It is my understanding that the Ohio Public Works Commission has requested a "Certified Local Flood Plain Permit" for the Waits Avenue Street and Storm Sewer Improvement Project. This permit appears to be intended for the construction of structures within the floodway of a watercourse. This would be pertinent where a Building Permit Application and building plans would be reviewed for the additional features needed in any structure to be located within the floodway fringe. Such certification of location within a floodway would be issued by the City's Building Department, which has no jurisdiction within street rights-of-way.

From the FEMA maps in our files, it appears that virtually all the length of the Waits Avenue Project is within the floodway fringe. This means that this project is not located where it would be damaged by the destructive effects of the water's current within the regulatory floodway.

This statement regarding the location of the project in relation to the regulatory floodway should meet the requirements of OPWC. Should either you or the OPWC staff need some additional information about this matter, please contact Richard Cline, Senior Engineer, at 352-6235.

Sincerely,


George C. Hartman, P.E.
Acting City Engineer

352-6235 11:18 PM 3:57

RECEIVED
CITY ENGINEER
MARCH 16 1993

WATTS AVENUE

ATTACHMENT "A"



ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Fiscal Year 1994 (July 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items may be required by the Support Staff if information does not appear to be accurate.

- 1) What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, submit a copy of the current State form BR-86.

Closed _____

Poor X

Fair _____

Good _____

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

Existing pavement is narrow, with one section unable to accommodate two passing vehicles. Problems with localized flooding, since existing stormwater facilities are so old (100+ years). Existing sewers cannot transport 10-year storm, nor ditches a 2-year storm.

- 2) If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after receiving the Project Agreement from OPWC (tentatively set for July 1, 1993) would the project be under contract? The Support Staff will be reviewing status reports of previous projects to help judge the accuracy of a particular jurisdiction's anticipated project schedule.

 5 months (Circle one)

Are preliminary plans or engineering completed? Yes No

Are detailed construction plans completed? Yes No

Are all right-of-way and easements acquired? Yes No N/A

Are all utility coordinations completed? Yes No N/A

Give an estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed. 12 months

3) How will the proposed project impact the general health, safety and welfare of the service area? (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data.

Local flooding due to inadequate storm drainage facilities.
Narrow approach to Kellogg Avenue contributes to congestion at the intersection caused by left-turning traffic. This problem is particularly evident when the adjacent sports complex contributes to traffic volume. Corner radii at Kellogg cause problems with boat trailers making turns into and out of Waits.

4) What type of funds are to be utilized for the local share for this project?

Federal	_____	ODOT	_____	Local	<u> X </u>
MRF	_____	OWDA	_____	CD	_____
Other	_____				

Note: If MRF funds are being used for the local share, the MRF application must have been filed by August 1, 1992 for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office.

The minimum amount of matching funds for grant projects (local share) must be at least 10% of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST. What percentage of matching funds are being committed to this project?

13% of grant portion of project cost

5) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits.) A copy of the legislation must be submitted with the application. THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE VALID.

Complete Ban _____ Partial Ban _____ No Ban X

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed?

Yes _____ No _____

- 6) What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?

Less than 1000 ADT

For roads and bridges, multiply current documented Average Daily Traffic by 1.20. For public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4.

- 7) Has the jurisdiction developed a Five Year Capital Improvement Plan as required in O.R.C., chapter 164? (This must be included with the application to be considered for funding.)

Yes X No

- 8) Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

Waits serves as a local feeder street to the Riverstar Sports
Complex and a pleasure boat harbor on the Ohio River. It also
functions as a collector for other residential streets in the
California community to access Kellogg Avenue.

STATE ISSUE 2 PROGRAM - ROUND 6

LTIP PROGRAM - ROUND 5

FISCAL YEAR 1994 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA - JULY 1, 1993 TO JUNE 30, 1994

ADOPTED BY THE DISTRICT 2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE JULY 17, 1992

AMENDED BY THE DISTRICT 2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE SEPTEMBER 18, 1992

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: CINCINNATI

NAME OF PROJECT: WAITS AVE ROAD IMPR

TOTAL POINTS FOR THIS PROJECT: _____

NO. POINTS

- 5 ~~12~~ 10
RW Needed for
storm facilities?
- 1) If Issue 2/LTIP Funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)
- 10 Points - Will be under contract by end of 1993
- 5 Points - Will be under contract by March 30, 1994
- 0 Points - Will not be under contract by March 30, 1994
- 8-Road 12
16-Drainage
12-Avg
- 2) What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.
- 20 Points - Poor Condition
- 16 Points -
- 12 Points - Fair to Poor Condition
- 8 Points -
- 4 Points - Fair Condition

NOTE: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition it will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

- 10 6 3) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability?
- Widening throughout
& add lane @ Sk Route
significantly increases
service. Drainage
improvement adds to
this*
- 10 Points - Significant effect (e.g., widen to and add lanes along entire project)
 - 8 Points - Moderate to significant effect
 - 6 Points - Moderate effect (e.g., widen exist. lanes)
 - 4 Points - Moderate to little effect
 - 2 Points - Little or no effect (e.g., street or bridge deck rehabilitation)

- 8 6 4) How important is the project to HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE of the public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?
- Significant effect on
safety & health w/
No effect on Welfare*
- 10 Points - Highly significant importance, with substantial impact on all 3 factors
 - 8 Points - Considerably significant importance, with substantial impact on 2 factors OR noticeable impact on all 3 factors
 - 6 Points - Moderate importance, with substantial impact on 1 factor or noticeable impact on 2 factors
 - 4 Points - Minimal importance, with noticeable impact on 1 factor
 - 2 Points - No measurable impact

- 6 5) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?
- 10 Points - Poor
 - 8 Points -
 - 6 Points - Fair
 - 4 Points -
 - 2 Points - Excellent

- 1 6) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Loan and Credit Enhancement projects automatically receive 5 points, and no match is required. All grant funded projects require a minimum of 10% matching funds.
- 5 Points - 50% or more
 - 4 Points - 40% to 49.99%
 - 3 Points - 30% to 39.99%
 - 2 Points - 20% to 29.99%
 - 1 Point - 10% to 19.99%

0

- 7) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? POINTS MAY ONLY BE AWARDED IF THE END RESULT OF THE PROJECT WILL CAUSE THE BAN TO BE LIFTED.

5 Points - Complete or significant ban
3 Points - Partial or moderate ban
0 Points - No ban of any kind

1

- 8) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria include current traffic counts, households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

5 Points - 10,000 or more
4 Points - 7,500 to 9,999
3 Points - 5,000 to 7,499
2 Points - 2,500 to 4,999
1 Point - 2,499 and under

2

1

*Consistent w/ Mills
in Norwood*

- 9) Does the infrastructure have REGIONAL impact? Consider origins and destinations of traffic, functional classification, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc.

5 Points - Major impact (e.g., major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed route to an Interstate, Federal - Aid Primary routes)
4 Points -
3 Points - Moderate impact (e.g., principal thoroughfares, Federal - Aid Urban routes)
2 Points -
1 Point - Minimal or no impact (e.g., cul-de-sacs, subdivision streets)

2

- 10) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or a dedicated tax for infrastructure?

2 Points - Two of the above
1 Point - One of the above
0 Points - None of the above